GPB—15,000—20 12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~191~~ 1904-05
(Inwards)

File No. 227

I

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Inam Settikalli village, Belur Taluk
-g to the Sri Vyasarayaswami matt

OUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

MYSORE SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE

File No.

SUBJECT.

In re The restoration of the Sarvamanya Village of Settihalli, Belur Taluk, endowed to the Vyasarayaswami Matt

FROM

The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District

769 Dated 15th May 1907.

Under orders of Government conveyed in their Lra of the 29th September 1903. The land endowments &c. of the Sri Vyasarayaswamy Matt among which was included the Sarvamanya Village of Settihalli in the Belur Taluk, came under Government management. Jaganatha Chars and his brother Keshu Chars of Belur petitioned this office stating that the said Sarvamanya Village had been in the enjoyment of their family for years past in consideration of conduct of certain specified

Puje to the Srinivasa Devaru, Puje Aradhane, etc
to the Brindavanam of Sri Lakshmi Vallabha-
Swamigalavaru, situated on the banks of
Vishnu Samudra, Katte Suvarna, Vyasa Puje,
after paying Sthala Uttara and local and
other cesses to Government, and also a
gutta of Rs 40/ to the matt. The petition-
ers prayed that the Village might be
released from attachment and restored
to them to enable them to conduct these
Services and permitted to pay the lease
amount to Govt. instead of to the
matt. This petition was forwarded
to the Deputy Commr of the Hassan
District, and that officer has submitted
a detailed report together with the
Vernacular records bearing on the subject.
In their deposition before the
Amildar received with the Vernacular
apers, the petitioners state that
Sarvamanya Village of Settihalli
Becherakh land with a Beriz
of whose annual income is
le to ascertain, that they

have advanced amounts (mavagada) to the
ryots to bring the land under cultivation
that they have spent some 500 or 600 Rs
in effecting improvements for the better
cultivation of the land. They represent
that from the income of the lands,
amounts as shown in the margin
are being spent for Puje, Archane, etc
which are being performed by them in
terms, and that they have not maintain-
ed any accounts. They have produced
a no. of documents in support of
their claim. Some of these are said to
be receipts given to them by the Math
for the payment of Gutta amounts,
while some are receipts ~~are~~ in evidence
of the payment of the local c
Govt. There are some others
in Devanagari

* Srinivasa Devaraj 16—
62 je.
Brindavana —00— 12—0—
Vyasabuje and Gutte Puvana — 12—0—0
Flowers etc. — 12—0—0
Archane — 5—00
Varushasane — 5—00.
Clothes etc. to the Brindavanam — 8—00
Local cess — 7—13—0
Gutta — 40—00
Total 117—13—0

a copy of a Kanarese order relied upon by the parties which is stated to have been issued in the year Viswavasu by Jinoodeen Saheb, late Amildar of Belur to the Sri Vyasarayaswamy Matt. This order purports to say that the Sarvamanya Villages of Yelahanka and Sellihalli which were under attachment should be released and restored to the matt Brahmins under what circumstances the Villages came to be attached, who those Brahmins were and whether they were holding the land on Kayamgutta tenure or as ents on behalf of the Matt, it clear. The petitioners urge these Brahmins were their and conclude that the Village in question was

in their enjoyment for a long time.
The petitioners add that they will
produce witnesses who may be examined
and pray that they may be allowed
to continue to enjoy the Village and
also to pay the Sutta to Govt. Narna
bhar, Srimusthara Srinivasa moorthyachar
and Kesna bhar respectively (probably
witnesses summoned by them) have deposed
stating that they have heard it said
that the Village was in the enjoyment
of the petitioners' family for a long
time past in consideration of the
conduct of some services and of a
payment of Sutta to the Matt and
that they know that it has been
in their enjoyment for about 60 years
In a Srimukha addressed to
of the Belur Taluk, it is

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

respect to the Saravamaya Village
restion, That a reference might be
made to the Mathadhikari and Suttadar
Kesava chan for the Demand, Collection
and Balance statement of the Village.
The Amildar after making a reference
to the last of the documents referred to,
states that it is a fact beyond all doubt
that the village in question was in
the enjoyment of the petitioners for
several ~~days~~ years past especially seeing
the receipts given by the math and
Govt., that the result of the enquiry
made by him goes to show that the
e in question was in the enjoyment
the petitioners and their ancestors
long time in consideration of
services etc. and is of opinion
circumstances, it is doubtful

Muz. 3A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

as to how the petitioners may be thrown out of the land. The Deputy Commr is of opinion that the petitioners may be allowed the enjoyment of the Village in question as heretofore, paying the lease amount to Govt instead of to the matt. On a reference from this office as to whether the services are being properly conducted since the assumption of its management by Govt that officer reports that the said services were and continue to be, performed by Jagannatha Char and Keshachar and submits a Mahazar of the Below to that effect.

AV 10/6/07. Submitted. For orders

Get an Inam Regr from

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Superintendent, relating
to the part of the village to
the matt. Also write to the
Swamijahs to give all the
information available regarding
the lease of the village &c ——

10/6/09

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated 22nd November 1904.

Despatched

Received

General No 1779 ~~Conf~~
Muzrai F. No. 57-'02
Enclosure No. 1 Petn

Has the honor to forward herewith petn Regd no 1429 from Kesavachar & Ramanathachar of Belur. The petrs state that Sarvamanya Settikalli village endowed to the Sri Vyasa-rajaswami Matt has been leased out to them by the matt for certain specified services such as Brindavana Puje, Kakad P Vyasa Puje &c on the

of their paying Sthal Vihara
& local and other cesses to Govt

They represent that they may
be continued to enjoy the village
in question as heretofore, paying
~~to Govt~~ the lease amount
to Govt instead of to the Swamis
of the Matth. The matter is
stated to have been enquired into
in the Taluk.

2. The D Commr is requested
to enquire into the allegation
of the petrs & submit a detailed
report to Govt together with
his opinion at an early date.

By order

18/11/04.

Secy
Revy Deptt

1.80
2.11.04.

S. O.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
A/11: 1429
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

व्यास राजमट्ट कृपालमोटी लक्ष्मी

1906-07

Rupees 25 7/

19/11/06

Area (full) 7-13-0

From R. S. Wetherall Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqr B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Inamdari Department.

Dated 15th November 1906.

Despatched 17th

Received

General No. 384
No. S

Enclosure No 1 file of petitions

Subject.

Submitting a report re-
the petition of Kesavachar
and jagannathachar of Belur
concerning the village of Settihalli
in Belur Taluk endowed to
Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

09-13-0

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District

Dated November 1906.

From

P. R. V. Wetherall Esqr
Dep

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esqr B.A
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzarai Department.

Sir,

In reply to your Docket No. 1779 dated 22nd November 1904 forwarding for report a petition from Kesavachar and Jagannathachar of Belur stating that Sarvamanya Settihalli village in Belur endowed to the Sri Vysarayaswami has been leased out to them by the certain Services and that the same

same may be continued to them while the village is under Government management. I have the honor to state that it is apparent from the Amildar's report, enclosed, that the Petitioners were in the enjoyment of the village in consideration of rendering Brindavana Puja Katte Pavana and Vyasa Puja in the Mutt precincts at Belur. It is clear from the receipts showing payments of local cess to Government and the lease amount of Rs 40 every year to the Mutt authorities that the Petitioners were in the enjoyment of the village since 1867. Moreover the order of release of the village from attachment appears to show that the village was in the enjoyment of the Petitioner's family for more than a century. Under the above circumstances the Petitioners may be allowed the enjoyment of the village in question as heretofore paying the lease amount to Government instead of to the Swami of the Mutt. The entire Vernacular File is herewith for reference.—

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient

CMD 10/11

To

The Dy: Commr,

Date.

No.

His Letter no 394 dy
15th November 1906. Sub-
-mitting report on the
petition of Kesavachar
& Jagannathachar of
Belur in regard to the
lease of the main village
of Settihalli in Belur Taluk
endowed to the Sri —
Vyasarayaswami Matt

—"—

With reference to
above has the honor to
enquire

whether the B
Puja, Vyasa Puja
Purana ~~cere~~ are
properly

village ~~was taken under~~ came under
first management and by
whom ~~were~~ are the services rendered
2. The Ver: papers are returned
for reference re. submission.

By order

12/06

Secretary

DBL

RS

8/12/06

AV

Refer 5253
2/
18/5/07

190 6-07

From K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar B.A. M.A.
Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To A. Ananthasami Rao Esqr. B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore. Muzrai Department
Bangalore

Dated 15th May 1907

Despatched 16th May 1907

Received

General No. 769
No. S

Enclosure No. Nil.

Subject

Submitting a report regarding the performance of certain Pujas by Jagannatha Char and his brother.

Deputy Commissioners' office
Hassan District
Dated Hassan 15th May 1907.

From K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esqr M.A.
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To. L Ananthasami Rao Esqr B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Munrai Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 3140 dated 14th December 1906 enquiring whether the Brindavana Puja, Vyasa Puja and Katte Purana are being properly performed ever since the village of Seddihalli in Belur Taluk endowed to Sri Vyasa rayaswami Matt, came under Government management and if so, by whom the Services were rendered, I have the honour to state that the Amildar reports that the said Services were, ~~performed~~ and continue to be performed by Jagannatha Char and his brother Keshavachar regularly. A Nazar of the Belur Government to that is enclosed in the vernacular papers with the Belur Amildar's report No 88 24th April 1907 which are submitted for

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
K R Srinivasa Iyengar
Dep Commissioner

2085 Draft as per orders

Mar 1 A]

190

From

To

The Superintendent of
the Inam settlements,
in Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that an extract of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of the Sarvamanya Village of Settihalli in the Belur Tk. for the benefit of the Sri-Vyasarayaswamy matt at S may be forwarded to this office for reference.

By order

DBA

GPB—8,000—21-7-03

15/6/07

AD 15/6/07

2 drafts

Draft for approval as per orders.

Vide Dy Commrs. letter no 769/S dt 15th may 1904.

ಮಾ ಸಿದ್ದಗಂ ಎಂಬ ಸಾಮ, ಮತ್ತು ಎಂಬಾ ವಯ್ಯ ಕಳ್ಳಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿಲಿ

ಎಂಬ ಪ್ಯಾಮಿಲಿಯಂ ಎಂಬ ಸಾಳ್ಳ ಸಿಗ ಎಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳ್ಳ ಕೆಲಸಾಳ್ಳ

ಕಿಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕ ಸಾಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕಿಲಿದೆ ನಡ್ತಿ ಎಂಬ ಳ್ಳೆ ಹೊಂಚಾಳ್ಳ

ಕಲ್ಲಾಡಿ ಮಲಾ ಸಾಳ್ಳಿ ಕಾಡ ಸಾ ಇಮ ಕಡಿಲಿ

ಪ್ಪಿಕಿ ಲಮ ಸಳ್ಳ ಸ್ಸ ಲಡಿಲಾ ಸಿಂಬಿ ಕಳ್ಳಿ ಕಿಡಿ ಬೆಂ

ಕೆಲ್ಲಾ ಹಾ ಎಂ ಸಾಳ್ಳಿಕಾಡ ಎಲ್ಲ ವಿಚಾರ್ಸ್ಥಾಕಿಕಿಳಿ

By order

Dy

Secretary

AN 3/8/10

15/6/07

163

1907.

From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Govern-ment of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Dated 10th
Despatched 11th } July 1907
Received

General No. 7
No.

Enclosure No. /

As requested in his docket-No. 6032 dated 24th June 1907, has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam Register of Sarvamanyam Settihalli village in the Belur Taluk.

D. Rama Rao
Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

Register Sheet No 220.

Taluk — Belur

Hobli — Arehalli

Village — Settihalli (Sowramaya)

Copy of the Register of Inams in the village of Settihalli Survamanya in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent: Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent: Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
Title Deed No. 392 Date of prop.a — Date of issue by Taluk —	Devadaya	—	No Pymaish account is available	
Revised Final I. D No. 153				
Final I. D No. 392				
		Area as per Survey dist.	A - G.	
		Gross Extent	113 - 31	
		Deduct uncultivable	5 - 39.	
		Balance	107 - 32	
		Dry	81 - 24	
		Wet	26 - 8	
		Garden	" - "	
		Total	107 - 32	
			Assessment as per Survey List	
			Gross Assessment	Rs 138 - 0 - 0
			Deduct grazing Valuation	" - 4 - "
			Balance	137 - 12 - 0
			Dry	34 - 15 - 7
			Wet	102 - 3 - 2
			Garden	" - " - "
			Total	137 - 2 - 9
			After eliminating fractions	Rs - 137 - 12 - "

ammat of Arekalli in the Taluk of Belur -

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND

Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made the assessment fixed by Government the corresponding rates to the Inamdar or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings etc whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, cesses, etc, amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
Acres 12-5-12 Burials in Grave Yard	x	Vide Avali case	Free

in the District

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
"	Vide Asali Case.

Boundaries

North — The boundary of Kandavara Suvvagramgam village

East — — Do — of Buenahalli Do.

West — — Do — of Echalahalli village

South — Do — of Kusyavara — Do

of Hassan.

NAME AND RELATION-SHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Huzur Agrahar Village Aeet. of 1799-800 Sreevyasarayaswamy Mutt Shettihalli Village Granted by Hassan Khan Beriz P 7-7 Total Beriz - 7-7-	Huzur Jari Inamu Aeet of 1831—32 Vyasarayaswamy's Mutt Shettihalli village Asli / Kutka P 7-2 Beriz Kadeem - 7-7-0 Jadeed - 4-8-12 12-5-12
	Huzur Jari Inamu Aeet. of 1804—5 Vyasarayaswamy Mutt Moaya Shettihalli Village Kutkas — 2 P 7-7 Beriz — 7-7-0	Asper Huzur Perwana of 13th Mrugasira Suddahyayan Vyaya ——— Huzur Sunnamanya Kyfiat Pattey of 1839 Vyasarayaswamy Mutt Shettihalli village Kristraj P. 7-2 Wet K K P 3-18-2 12-5-12

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Soscillay. Tallak Taluk — Sreevyasarayaswamy mutt — Manager present.		—	—

Note

A. — Independent Inams —— Nil

B — Subsequent alienations need not be noticed under the Rules.

C. — Revenue enjoyed by the Inamdars.

Land Revenue. Motarfa. Amroji. Sayer. Abkarry and Forests

D. Statistics.

1. Asli Village —
2. Tanks
1 Well
6. Thatched Houses
27 — Population.

Men —	Boys —	Women —	Girls
9 —	6	7 —	5

Boundaries to be erected by the Survey Deptt

(Signed) T. Sreenivasaiyengar
Asst

23.12.78

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on Lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
1 Daddy case B to Asli case A of Yelahunka village Register Sheet No 218—— 2 There is no Pymaish Aeeh of years between 1800 & 1810 for adoption in Col 4 — — 3. The Sayer rights belong to the Inamdar vide remarks in the Asli case 4 The Forest rights are the Govern'd by the Chief Commrs rulings on the Subject 5 Valuation Survey is desired 6 The Local fund cess paid is Rs 2-15— 7 To be confirmed free of tax under Rule V (Sgnd) T Sreenivasaa Ayyangar 23-12-78 Asst	Subject 1. Confirmed free, but, to all Local Fund and Irrigation cesses 2 Vide asst for orders on all other Subjects (Signed) Robert Cole 24-2-80 Inam Supdt. 3 Revised as per the Dewan's Ro. No 4701-9/133 dated 2nd September 1881 4 Vide the Statement received with Survey Supdts Docketed 920 dated 21st February 1883. A - g Assessed Rs - a - p Uncultivable 5.39 0 — 4 — 0 Dry Cultivated 33.14 13 - 13 - 10 Cultivable 48-10 5 - 4 - 5 Wet Cultivated 26-8 102-3-2 Total 113-31 121-9-5 5 Confirmed finally free so long as the object of the grant is fulfilled

GPB—1,000 books of 2 sheets each—9-8-06.

Col. 20th

6. This village is not subject to revision under the Govt. Pro. No. 14223–5 / P. 7100–93 dated 17th February 1894. Vide Survey Supttts. yadi No 206 dated 22nd July 1896.

(Sgd) J. P. Grant.
28-1-97. Supttt.

"Copy"

Consp.
To
Inam Supttts' Office
Bangalore D/ 10/11th July 1907

D Saunders
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Vide Enclosure Supports Docket no 7/163 B7 10th [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6033 / 24:6:07 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By

[illegible]